

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/044,019	BHATTACHARYA ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
ARAVIND K. MOORTHY	2431		
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>ARAVIND K. MOORTHY</u>	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Chris Gu</u>	(4) _____		
Date of Interview: <u>29 July 2009</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	If Yes, provide a brief description: _____		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:	N/A		
Claims discussed:	33		
Prior art documents discussed:	N/A		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
The attorney agreed to add a computing device in the claim so that there would be no issues under 101.			
Part III.			
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<i>/Aravind K Moorthy/ Examiner, Art Unit 2431</i>			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			